

## The Trumpington Conservation Area - Draft Appraisal: Summary of Responses

1 = action taken

2 = not within the remit of this document

3 = no action taken

NB: Where the same comments have been made by different methods, these have only been included once e.g. where emails are making the same points as Comments Forms.

	Respondent	Comment	Response	Action
1	English Heritage East of England Region	<p>(i) Extensions welcomed. Possibility of extending further along Grantchester Road to include the terrace, as well as the front gardens which have been included, should be considered. Also the crescent of shops and flats should be considered as part of the expansion of the mid-twentieth century. They are also integral to the open space in front which been put forward for inclusion.</p> <p>(ii) It would be helpful if the descriptions were enhanced to include greater analysis of character features, building types and materials. This could be done graphically and include historic maps.</p> <p>(iii) More information should be put in under 9.4 to give greater detail regarding what could be subject to Article 4 Directions.</p> <p>(iv) Guidance could be offered to show how the conservation area could be managed positively in the future.</p> <p>(v) The impact of the Trumpington Meadows development should be addressed more fully within the document.</p>	<p>(i) The area along Grantchester Road has been included as part of the open space leading into Trumpington, rather than as part of its developed area. Therefore we are not proposing to include the terrace. The proposal to include the shops and flats at the crescent is considered to be appropriate and the boundary has been altered accordingly.</p> <p>(ii) Noted</p> <p>(iii) Noted</p> <p>(iv) It is felt that this is covered within the Guidance section.</p> <p>(v) Noted</p>	<p>(i) 1</p> <p>(ii) 3</p> <p>(iii) 1</p> <p>(iv) 3</p> <p>(v) 1</p>
2	Natural England	No specific comments	Noted	3

3	Cambridge Past, Present & Future	<p>(i) The issue of the existing conservation area being designated as 'at risk' by English Heritage has not been addressed within the document. Recent renewal of village sign and proposals for the war memorial are steps to improvement and other potential enhancements should be clearly listed and priorities highlighted to achieve available funding.</p> <p>(ii) Strongly recommend inclusion of Byron's Pool with terrace on Grantchester Road.</p> <p>(iii) 3.7 Trumpington was not just an agricultural setting but horticultural too.</p> <p>(iv) 3.8 approaches into Trumpington important, especially the pasture in front of Anstey Hall Farm barn, Hauxton Road trees and Shelford Road.</p> <p>(v) 3.13 Trumpington Hall &amp; Estate colour scheme within village</p> <p>(vi) 3.18 further milestone by Wingate Way should be included with London Road description.</p> <p>(vii) 5.4 War Memorial description needs to include importance of Dr Wingate.</p> <p>(viii) A glossary is needed for more unusual terminology.</p> <p>(ix) 5.25 to 5.36 the boundary at the end of Alpha Terrace should include the gate of Fawcett School and a description should be added. Tree cover and enhancement opportunities should be described.</p> <p>(x) 6.11 and 9.9 it should be made clear that</p>	<p>(i) Text added to document to highlight this matter.</p> <p>(ii) The Community Reserves Officer has been consulted and it was agreed that having Byron's pool within the conservation area would not give it any additional protection.</p> <p>(iii) Text added</p> <p>(iv) Text added regarding area by Anstey Hall Farm barn. The other approaches are considered to be appropriately documented.</p> <p>(v) Noted</p> <p>(vi) Outside of proposed area</p> <p>(vii) Text amended</p> <p>(viii) Noted and may be used in future documents</p> <p>(ix) The inclusion of the gate to Fawcett School is not thought to be necessary. The other point is noted.</p> <p>(x) Text amended.</p>	<p>(i) 1</p> <p>(ii) 3</p> <p>(iii) 1</p> <p>(iv) 1</p> <p>(v) 3</p> <p>(vi) 3</p> <p>(vii) 1</p> <p>(viii) 3</p> <p>(ix) 3</p> <p>(x) 1</p>
---	----------------------------------	--	---	--

		there are two cemeteries in Trumpington. The one at the junction of Shelford Road and Hauxton Road should not be described as tranquil due to its location. However St May and St Nicholas could be.		
(xi)	6.12 south-westerly vistas of Anstey Hall should be denoted as significant so that the Trumpington Meadows development can enhance this view.	(xi)	These views are currently not seen by the public and are obscured by trees and hedging. The comment is noted.	(xi) 3
(xii)	9.11 there needs to be a feasibility study undertaken to link the proposal for 20mph as a city wide scheme.	(xii)	Noted	(xii) 2
(xiii)	9.3 and 9.12 the enhancement of some front gardens would be beneficial. This could be run as a wider campaign or competition.	(xiii)	Noted	(xiii) 2
(xiv)	A more detailed wildlife appraisal is required.	(xiv)	Noted	(xiv) 2
(xv)	9.4 and 9.5 would welcome a detailed discussion to introduce the usage of Article 4 Directions in all conservation areas in the city. Essential to have a set of photographic and other records as a baseline to be regularly reviewed.	(xv)	Noted	(xv) 3
(xvi)	9.6, 9.7, 9.3 and 9.12 there should be opportunities to improve existing and introduce new larger scale landscape vegetation.	(xvi)	Noted	(xvi) 3
(xvii)	9.8 seating and street furniture should be integrated throughout the village, including outside of the conservation area to ensure coherent and appropriate enhancements.	(xvii)	Noted	(xvii) 2
(xviii)	9.10 a review should be undertaken of signage.	(xviii)	Noted	(xviii) 2
(xix)	9.13 share concerns about Anstey Hall Farm Buildings which are significant to the	(xix)	Noted	(xix) 3

		<p>(xx) setting of the village. 9.14 a maserplan should be prepared and highlighted with the development opportunities within the historic core of the village between Church Lane and Maris Lane, and also near to Lambourn Close.</p> <p>(xxi) The maps should be labelled to add key road and building names to improve legibility. Long views of the church spire should be added from Clay Farm.</p>	<p>(xx) Noted</p> <p>(xxi) These will be added to the final document where possible.</p>	<p>(xx) 2</p> <p>(xxi) 1</p>
4	Trumpington Residents' Association and Trumpington Local History Group	<p>The appraisal is a very helpful report which is warmly welcomed.</p> <p>(i) There was a low turnout at the exhibition which should not be seen as lack of interest. Perhaps it could have been more actively publicised.</p> <p>(ii) 8.8 surprised that Alpha Terrace was not included in the previous boundary review and support its proposed inclusion now. It is an attractive area, overwhelmed by parked cars and traffic to and from Fawcett School.</p> <p>(iii) 8.9 the inclusion of the open green in front of the crescent is supported.</p> <p>(iv) 8.12 Crossways House, 103 to 107a High Street and Allen Court are supported in principal but further thought should be given about the need to include 105 and 107 as there is a mistake in the dating of these as 17<sup>th</sup> century in 4.14, but they are correctly described as 20<sup>th</sup> century on page 35. Do not believe Allen Court to be worthy of inclusion.</p> <p>(v) The boundary should be extended to include Byron's Pool.</p> <p>(vi) 9.5 would welcome regular monitoring and photographic surveys.</p>	<p>(i) Noted</p> <p>(ii) Noted</p> <p>(iii) Noted</p> <p>(iv) The incorrect dating is noted and will be altered in the text. The building is still considered to be worthy of inclusion as is Allen Court which is of historic interest as well being of interesting design.</p> <p>(v) See comments above</p> <p>(vi) Noted</p>	<p>(i) 3</p> <p>(ii) 3</p> <p>(iii) 3</p> <p>(iv) 1</p> <p>(v) 3</p> <p>(vi) 3</p>

		(vii) 9.8 believe area around the War Memorial would benefit from improved paving and provision of information panels.	(vii) Noted	(vii) 2
		(viii) 9.10 recognise that the signage and street furniture is necessary but is it inconsistent and the High Street would benefit from higher quality fittings and a more integrated approach. May be more appropriate to pursue once the new transport links are in use. Village could also benefit from new directional signs.	(viii) Noted	(viii) 2
		(ix) 9.11 do not think a 20mph speed limit is realistic. Should be reviewed in 3-5 years after the opening of the Addenbrooke's Road and Guided Bus.	(ix) Noted	(ix) 3
5	Cambridgeshire County Council - Environment	(i) 2.5 Regional Spatial Strategies have been revoked.	(i) Reference to this document has been removed.	(i) 1
		(ii) Comments regarding historical development of the village.	(ii) Noted	(ii) 3
		(iii) The aspiration for a 20mph speed limit is one the County would share.	(iii) Noted	(iii) 3
6	Cambridgeshire County Council - Highways	(i) Comments regarding the historical development of the area.	(i) Noted	(i) 3
		(ii) 4.36 to 4.40 until the number of motor vehicles is significantly reduced, the signage is required. The impression is given that the street is cluttered with useless signs and crossing places whereas they are kept to a minimum.	(ii) Noted	(ii) 3
		(iii) 8.5 design of street furniture is often stipulated by national government. There are limited funds.	(iii) Noted	(iii) 3
7	Environment Agency	There are no objections to the review in principle.	Noted	3

8	5 Comments Sheets received following public consultation	<p>All respondees supported the appraisal and its contents. They also made the following comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Reservations about the introduction of a 20mph speed limit.</li> <li>(ii) The cottages on the High Street (105 and 107) have been greatly altered and have modern houses in the front, therefore should they be included?</li> <li>(iii) Trumpington Conservation Area as been designated as an area 'at risk' and this is not fully addressed in the document.</li> <li>(iv) The private and commercial properties along the High Street should be tidied up.</li> <li>(v) No mention of the Anstey Way estate the open area of which should be preserved. The Trumpington Orchard is also an asset for local people.</li> <li>(vi) There should be speed cameras on Grantchester Road</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Noted</li> <li>(ii) See comments above</li> <li>(iii) See comments above</li> <li>(iv) See comments above</li> <li>(v) Considered, but not thought worthy of inclusion</li> <li>(vi) Noted</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) 3</li> <li>(ii) 3</li> <li>(iii) 1</li> <li>(iv) 3</li> <li>(v) 3</li> <li>(vi) 3</li> </ul>
---	--	--	--	---